

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/043,782 01/09/2002		01/09/2002	Michael Schurr	01835 / LH	1254	
1933	7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER		
	•	Z, GOODMAN & 0	GIBSON, RANDY W			
767 THIRD A				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK		017-2023	2841			

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/043,78	2	SCHURR, MICHAEL						
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit						
		Randy W.	Gibson	2841						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply									
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre- ter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu beriod will apply and will statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Status										
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is no lowance except	for formal matters, pro		merits is					
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from coi								
Applicati	on Papers									
10)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2005. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	3 is/are: a)☐ acoupt and acoupt acoupt acoupt and acoupt and acoupt acoupt and acoupt acoupt acoupt acoupt acoupt acoupt and acoupt aco	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/043,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims which were previously indicated as allowable are now rejected over the prior art since the specification has been amended in such a way to cast doubt on the examiner's previous interpretation of the language of claim 1.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed December 12, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the proposed drawing correction to Figure 9 shows all four load cells installed with the same "orientation"; that is, all of the load cells are arranged such that the strain gages on each of the four load cells are facing upward. The written description, especially considering the changes added in the same amendment, seem to suggest that two of the four load cells are turned upside down so that "two diametrically opposed pairs of load-sensing elements ... [are] installed with an orientation opposite to the other one of the pair"; this is not what is shown.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "two diametrically

, ~. Application/Control Number: 10/043,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

opposed pairs of load-sensing elements ... installed with an orientation opposite to the other one of the pair" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: the original description of Figure 9 on page 15 states that each load cell has "two" strain gages "5" & "6" which are not show in either the old or new versions of Figure 9. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

It is still unclear how the upside down load cells described on page 15 are placed in a circuit with the "two diametrically opposed pairs of load-sensing elements ...

Application/Control Number: 10/043,782

Art Unit: 2841

installed with an orientation opposite to the other one of the pair" to form the "fully electronic bridge" described on page 15.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is still unclear how the upside down load cells described on page 15 are placed in a circuit with the "two diametrically opposed pairs of load-sensing elements ... installed with an orientation opposite to the other one of the pair" to form the "fully electronic bridge" described on page 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,782 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schurr (US # 5,183,125). Figure 3 shows a load cell shaped similar to the one in the present application. Applicant's amendments to the specification raise confusion as to exactly what is meant by the claim limitation that a strain gage is "disposed on the block in the vicinity of one of the offset joints".

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 271-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> RandyW. Gibson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2841